

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark, Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Advandina, Virginia 22313-1450 www.lapto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	PIE	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/963,360	3,360 09/24/2001		Gerald J. Ware	WAR1394.07A	8250
8156	7590	11/26/2003		EXAMINER	
JOHN P. O'			YEUNG, GEORGE CHAN PUT		
O'BANION & 400 CAPITO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SACRAMEN	ITO, CA	95814	1761		

DATE MAILED: 11/26/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

pu

•		Application No.	Application No. Applicant(s)						
	Office A. Communication	09/963,360	WARE, GI	WARE, GERALD J.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
		George C Yeung	1761						
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE I - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statustic period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statustic period for reply will, so the control of the period for reply will. Set at the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however eply within the statutory minim d will apply and will expire Si ute, cause the application to b	er, may a reply be timely filed um of thirty (30) days will be consid X (6) MONTHS from the mailing dat ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. §	e of this communication. 133)					
Status									
· ·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22.								
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.							
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-61 is/are pending in the application	n.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-61</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirem	ent.						
Applicati	ion Papers								
-	The specification is objected to by the Examir								
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	ccepted or b) object	ted to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bures	nts have been receiv nts have been receiv iority documents hav	ed. ed in Application No e been received in this N	 ational Stage					
13)∭ A si 3' a	See the attached detailed Office action for a list acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestince a specific reference was included in the form of the form of the translation of the foreign language p	st of the certified cop stic priority under 35 irst sentence of the s rovisional application	es not received. U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a propertion or in an Applen has been received.	ication Data Sheet.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.									
Attachmen	t(s)								
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 No	terview Summary (PTO-413) Pa otice of Informal Patent Applica ther:						

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's election without traverse of the invention of Group II (claims 19-61) in Paper No. 5 is acknowledged.

Claims 24 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention for the following reason:

It is not clear what apparatus structure is intended by the limitation "nitrogen" recited in apparatus claims 24 and 29. Note that the limitation recited in these apparatus claims is a <u>method</u> limitation and thus it fails to further limit the subject matter of the previous apparatus claims in terms of positive structure.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/963,360

Art Unit: 1761

Claims 19-21, 23-26, 28 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Juarez et al (WO 96/35340).

Claims 22 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Juarez et al (WO 96/35340). It would have been obvious to modify the ultrasonic-wave emitting means of Juarez et al such that the food products are exposed to ultrasound at frequency within the range of 20KHz to 100KHz for approximately 15 to 90 minutes since it is an obvious matter of routine optimization depending upon the type, size and quantity of the food products to be dehydrated.

Claims 30-34, 38-43, 47-52 and 56-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Juarez et al (WO 96/35340) in view of Meldrum. Juarez et al disclose the claimed apparatus except for the plurality of drying zones and the plurality of heat sources. However, Meldrum shows the conventional expedient of drying a food product by means of a drying system comprising a plurality of drying zones and a plurality of heat sources so as to be better able to control airflow direction and velocity, temperature, humidity, and other operating parameters (see Figure 4). Therefore, it would have been obvious to modify the apparatus of Juarez et al such that a plurality of heating zones and a plurality of heat sources are formed within the drying housing as per the teaching of Meldrum in order to be better able to control airflow direction and velocity, temperature, humidity, and other operating parameters.

Claims 35-37, 44-46 and 53-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Juarez et al (WO 96/35340). It is not deemed that the features variously recited in dependent claims 35-37, 44-46 and 53-55 would define unobvious

Page 4

subject matter over the teaching of Juarez et al in the absence of any new or unexpected results. The features recited in these dependent claims are considered to be obvious matters of structural design well within the skill of an ordinary artisan in the field of dehydration technology.

U.S. Patent 6,233,844 (the U.S. equivalent of WO 96/35340) is cited to show a dehydration device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Examiner George C. Yeung whose telephone number is (703) 308-3848. The examiner can generally be reached on Monday-Friday from 10:30 a.m. to 7:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on (703) 308-3959. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

G.C. Yeung/dh November 18, 2003

> GEORGE C.YEUNG PRIMARY EXAMINER